Registered with the Registrar
of Newspapers for India
under No. 10410

सत्यमेव जयते

புதுச்சேரி மாநில அரசிதழ்

La Gazette de L' État de Poudouchéry

The Gazette of Puducherry

PART - I

சிறப்பு வெளியீடு **EXTRAORDINAIRE** **EXTRAORDINARY**

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற வெளியீடு | Publiée par Autorité | Published by Authority

எண்		புதுச்சேரி	செவ்வாய்க்கிழமை	2026 ஆம்	நவம்பர் மீ	10 உ	
No.	193	Poudouchéry	Mardi	10	Novembre	2026	(19 Kartika 1948)
No.		Puducherry	Tuesday	10th	November	2026	

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (SOUTH) AT VILLIANUR

Present : Thiru **A. KUMARAN,**
Subdivisional Magistrate (South)

M.C. No. 299/2026 I.R.No. 87/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS, 2023/2549

ORDER

[UNDER SECTION 163(3) BNSS, 2023]

To

Thiru Jana @ Janarthanan,
S/o. Abimannan,
No. 7, Sudalai Street,
Kalitheerthalkuppam,
Puducherry.

Whereas, the Station House Officer, Thirubuvanai Police Station, Puducherry, has laid an information *vide* I.R. No. 87/2026, dated 22-09-2026 under Section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, before this

Court, praying for appropriate preventive action against the counter petitioner, Jana @ Janarthanan, by restraining him from entering the jurisdiction of the Subdivision (South), Puducherry, for a period of three months, in order to prevent imminent danger to human life and property and disturbance to public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, in the said information, the Station House Officer has reported that the counter petitioner has been involved in several criminal cases of a serious nature, including cases relating to murder, attempt to murder, robbery, extortion, rioting, offences involving explosives and weapons, and criminal intimidation. The details of the criminal cases and previous preventive proceedings initiated against him have been furnished in the information report.

And whereas, it has been specifically reported that the counter petitioner was involved in Cr. No. 100/2025 under section 25(1-B)(b) of the Arms Act, 1959 and sections 4 and 5 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908, of Thirubuvanai Police Station, Puducherry, wherein dangerous weapons, explosive substances and other incriminating materials were allegedly recovered from his possession. The police have further reported that, after his release from Central Prison, Kalapet, on 07-04-2025, he has allegedly been indirectly threatening merchants and entrepreneurs in the Thirubuvanai Police Station limits and demanding money by exercising his criminal influence and muscle power.

And whereas, the Station House Officer has further brought to the notice of this Court that preventive proceedings has also been initiated against the counter petitioner on earlier occasions, including proceedings under section 163 BNSS and that previous orders restraining his entry into the jurisdiction has been passed in view of the apprehension of danger to public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, upon receipt of the information, summons were issued to the counter petitioner, directing him to appear before this Court and submit his explanation as to why appropriate preventive orders shoud not be passed against him.

And whereas, enquiries were conducted on 08-10-2026, 22-10-2026 and 29-10-2026. During the enquiry, the petitioner reiterated the facts and allegations contained in the Information Report and pressed for preventive action against the counter petitioner. The Counter petitioner appeared before this Court through his counsel and submitted his claims and objections. The submissions made on behalf of the counter petitioner were also taken into consideration.

And whereas, this Court has carefully examined the information report, the particulars of the criminal cases furnished by the petitioner, the history of previous preventive proceedings and the submissions made by both sides during the enquiry. It is evident from the materials placed before this Court that the counter petitioner has a prolonged history of involvement in serious criminal activities and that preventive proceedings have been initiated against him on several earlier occasions. The involvement of the counter petitioner in offences concerning deadly weapons and explosive substances, coupled with the allegations of intimidation and extortion of local business persons after his release from prison, assumes significance in assessing the present apprehension of danger to public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, this Court is conscious that mere involvement in criminal cases, by itself, cannot be the sole ground for imposing restrictions upon an individual. However, the cumulative effect of the materials placed on record, the nature and gravity of the allegations, the previous preventive proceedings and the specific apprehension expressed by the Station House Officer indicate that the continued presence and activities of the counter petitioner within the jurisdiction are likely to disturb public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, the objections and submissions made by the counter petitioner through his counsel have been duly considered. However, the same do not sufficiently allay the apprehension arising from the materials placed before this Court. This Court is therefore satisfied that the apprehension of breach of peace and danger to public safety is real and requires immediate preventive intervention.

And whereas, the object of section 163 of BNSS, 2023 is to prevent imminent danger and maintain public order and tranquillity. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court is satisfied that a preventive restriction for a limited period is necessary and proportionate to the nature of the apprehended threat. Accordingly, this Court finds that the presence of the counter petitioner within the jurisdiction of the Subdivision (South), Puducherry, is likely to pose a threat to public peace and tranquillity.

Now therefore, I, A. Kumaran, Subdivisional Magistrate (South), Puducherry, in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and upon being fully satisfied that immediate preventive action is necessary to prevent disturbance of public peace and public tranquillity, I hereby pass the following order:

(1) The Counter Petitioner, Thiru Jana @ Janarthanan, son of Abimannan, shall remain outside the territorial jurisdiction of the South Revenue Division, Puducherry, for a period of two (02) months from the date of service of this order or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry. However, you may appear either in person or by pleader before the undersigned to represent against the order.

(2) The Station House Officer, Thirubuvanai Police Station, Puducherry, shall ensure strict implementation of this order and shall closely monitor compliance by the counter petitioner throughout the period of operation of this order.

(3) In the event of violation of any of the directions contained herein, the counter petitioner shall render himself liable for appropriate action under the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and other applicable laws, without prejudice to any other legal proceedings that may be initiated against him.

At Villianur, dated this 06th day of November, 2026.

A. KUMARAN,
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (SOUTH) AT VILLIANUR

Present : Thiru **A. KUMARAN,**
Subdivisional Magistrate (South)

M.C. No. 305/2026 I.R.No. 63/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS, 2023/2548

ORDER

[UNDER SECTION 163(3) BNSS, 2023]

To

Dhileep,
S/o. Arikrishnan,
No. 49, Indira Nagar,
Kirumampakkam Pet,
Puducherry.

Whereas, the Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, has laid an information in I.R. No. 63/2026, dated 06-10-2026, received by this Court on 08-10-2026, invoking the provisions of section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, stating that the counter petitioner, namely Dhileep, is allegedly involved in activities prejudicial to public peace and tranquillity and that his continued presence within the jurisdiction of this Court is likely to cause disturbance to public order and endanger the life and property of the general public.

And whereas, the Station House Officer has reported that the counter petitioner is allegedly associated with George Fernandes and his associates, namely Vasanth and Deepan, and that there has been an ongoing rivalry between the said group and the rival group led by the deceased Pugazh @ Pugazhendi. It is further reported that owing to the previous enmity between the rival groups, there have been instances of serious criminal activities, including attempts on the lives of members of the rival groups, resulting in apprehension of further retaliation and disturbance of public peace.

And whereas, the Station House Officer has specifically brought to the notice of this Court that the counter petitioner and his associates were allegedly involved in the following criminal cases:

Sl. No.	Crime Number	Sections of Law	Police Station
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Cr. No. 103/2025	Under section 109(1), 351(3) r/w 3(5) BNS	Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry.
2	Cr. No. 25/2026	Under section 191(2), 61(1), 49, 103(1), 351(2) BNS	Thookkanampakkam Police Station, Tamil Nadu.

And whereas, the information further discloses the counter petitioner and his associates were allegedly involved in the murder of Pugazh @ Pugazhendi on 01-05-2026 within the jurisdiction of Thookkanampakkam Police Station, Tamil Nadu, in connection with which the above-mentioned cases were registered.

The accused persons were arrested, remanded to judicial custody and subsequently released on bail on 05-10-2026. The Station House Officer has expressed apprehension that their release on bail on 05-10-2026. The Station House Officer has expressed apprehension that their release may lead to renewed rivalry and retaliatory activities between the groups, thereby posing a serious threat to public peace and tranquillity in the locality.

And whereas, upon receipt of the said information, this Court, being satisfied that there were sufficient grounds to initiate preventive proceedings, issued summons to the counter petitioner directing him to appear before this Court and submit his explanation as to why appropriate preventive orders should not be passed against him under Section 163 of BNSS.

And whereas, the matter was taken up for enquiry on 15-10-2026, on which date both the petitioner and the counter petitioner appeared before this Court. During the enquiry, the petitioner reiterated the allegations contained in the Information Report and submitted that the counter petitioner was associated with the rival gang and that his continued presence in the locality, particularly in view of the release of the accused persons on bail and the prevailing rivalry between the groups, was likely to result in further confrontations and disturbance to public peace.

And whereas, the counter petitioner, during the initial hearing, denied his association with George Fernandes and stated that he did not know him or how his name had been included in the murder case. He further stated that he was working in a shop and assured this Court that he would not create any problem or disturbance in the locality. However, the explanation so offered was not supported by any further materials or convincing circumstances to dispel the apprehensions raised in the Information Report.

And whereas, the matter was subsequently taken up for further enquiry on 23-10-2026. On the said date, the petitioner appeared and reiterated his objections, whereas the counter petitioner did not appear before this Court to substantiate his earlier explanation or to offer any further clarification. The matter was therefore adjourned to 05-11-2026, affording a further opportunity to the counter petitioner to participate in the proceedings.

And whereas, on 05-11-2026, the petitioner appeared before this Court reiterated the facts and circumstances set out in the Information Report. The petitioner specifically submitted that the presence of the counter petitioner in the jurisdiction would pose a serious threat to the safety of the public and that, considering the history of rivalry between the groups and the gravity of the offences reported, there were every likelihood of renewed confrontations and consequent breach of public peace and tranquillity. The counter petitoner, however, did not appear before this Court on the said date also, despite having been duly summoned and afforded sufficient opportunities to present his case.

And whereas, this Court has carefully considered the Information Report, the allegations made therein, the explanation offered by the counter petitioner during the initial hearing, the submissions of the petitioner during the subsequent hearings and the conduct of the counter petitioner in not appearing for the further hearings on 23-10-2026 and 05-11-2026. Though the counter petitioner was afforded sufficient opportunity to substantiate his explanation, he failed to avail himself of the subsequent opportunities granted by this Court. Hence, the proceedings are considered on the basis of the materials available on record.

And whereas, it is pertinent to observe that the object of the proceedings under section 163 of BNSS is preventive in nature and is intended to avert imminent danger to human life, safety and public tranquillity. The present proceedings are not intended to determine the guilt or otherwise of the counter petitioner in the criminal cases referred to above. Nevertheless, the nature and gravity of the allegations, the reported involvement of the rival groups in serious criminal activities, the recent release of the accused perons on bail and the possibility of renewed retaliation constitute relevant circumstances warranting preventive intervention.

And whereas, this Court is of the considered opinion that the counter petitioner's continued presence within the jurisdiction of the South Region may provide an opportunity for further confrontations between the rival groups and may result in serious consequences affecting the safety of the general public, shopkeepers and other residents of the locality. The apprehension expressed by the petitioner cannot be brushed aside, particularly when the prevailing circumstances indicate a reasonable likelihood of disturbance to public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, upon an overall consideration of the facts and circumstances, this Court is satisfied that immediate preventive action is necessary to prevent the commission of cognizable offences and to maintain public peace and tranquillity within its jurisdiction. The preventive restriction is considered necessary and proportionate for a limited period, having regard to the gravity of the reported circumstances.

Now therefore, I, A. Kumaran, Subdivisional Magistrate (South), Puducherry in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and upon being fully satisfied that immediate preventive action is necessary to prevent disturbance of public peace and public tranquillity, I hereby pass the following order:

1. The Counter Petitioner, Dhileep, son of Arikrishnan, shall remain outside the territorial jurisdiction of the South Revenue Division, Puducherry, for a period of two (02) months from the date of service of this order or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry. However, you may appear either in person or by pleader before the undersigned to represent against the order.

2. The Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, shall ensure strict implementation of this order and shall closely monitor compliance by the Counter Petitioner throughout the period of operation of this order.

3. In the event of violation of any of the directions contained herein, the Counter Petitioner shall render himself liable for appropriate action under the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and other applicable laws, without prejudice to any other legal proceedings that may be initiated against him.

At Villianur, dated this 06th day of November, 2026.

A. KUMARAN,
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

online publication at **"https://styandptg.py.gov.in"**
Published by the Director, Government Press, Puducherry.